arXiv:1209.2216v1 [math.AG] 11 Sep 2012

Azumaya maximal orders do not always exist

BENJAMIN ANTIEAU AND BEN WILLIAMS

ABSTRACT. We show that, in general, over a regular noetherian affine scheme, there exist Brauer classes such that the division algebra over the generic point has no maximal orders that are Azumaya. We produce examples in dimensions 6. Despite the algebraic nature of the result, our proof relies on the algebraic topology of classifying spaces of algebraic groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a regular noetherian integral scheme with generic point $\eta = \operatorname{Spec} K$, and let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(X)$. The map $\operatorname{Br}(X) \to \operatorname{Br}(K)$ is injective by [3, Theorem 7.2]. Suppose that A is a central simple algebra over K with Brauer class α_η. An order for A over X is a coherent $\mathscr{O}_X$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ such that $\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} K \cong A$. A maximal order is an order that is maximal with respect to inclusion of algebras. Maximal orders exist on X since we assume it is noetherian.

A great deal of work on division algebras and Azumaya algebras over 1- and 2-dimensional schemes, such as [3, Section 7], relies on the existence of maximal orders that are themselves Azumaya algebras with class α, which follows from the observation that reflexive sheaves on such schemes are projective. Since α is unramified on X, a maximal order is Azumaya if and only if the underlying coherent sheaf is locally free.

It is a long-open question whether such Azumaya maximal orders exist over higher-dimensional schemes. For instance, after the proof of Proposition 7.4 of [3], Auslander and Goldman write:

> It should be remarked that the condition [$\dim R \leq 2$] on the dimension of R was used in the proof only to ensure that Δ contains a maximal order which is R-projective. It is not known at the present time whether the restriction on the dimension of R is actually necessary.

We show in this paper that, in general, Azumaya maximal orders, or equivalently locally free maximal orders, do not always exist.

The idea is to use algebraic topology and topological Azumaya algebras, studied in [1], to pose an analogous problem for CW complexes. By studying the homotopy types of certain classifying spaces, we are able to prove non-existence results about topological Azumaya algebras for CW complexes. We construct algebraic examples by using Totaro's algebraic approximations to classifying space of affine algebraic groups [9]. This yields smooth quasi-projective varieties over $\mathbb{C}$. By using Jouanolou's device, we can replace these by smooth affine varieties. Then, by using the affine Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, we can cut down to smooth affine 6-folds.

The impetus to think about these questions came from a conversation of the first-named author with Colin Ingalls, Daniel Krashen, and David Saltman on a wonderful hike to Emmaline Lake in Pingree Park, Colorado during the 10th Brauer Conference in August 2012. We also thank Lawrence Ein who mentioned to the first author the affine Lefschetz hyperplane theorem.

2. PROOFS

Throughout, GL_n shall be used for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and similarly for other classical groups. If m and n are positive integers such that m divides n, write $\mathrm{P}(m,n)$ for the quotient of the special linear group SL_n by the central subgroup μ_m of m-th roots of unity. Note the special cases $\mathrm{P}(1,n)=\mathrm{SL}_n$ and $\mathrm{P}(n,n)=\mathrm{PGL}_n$. We will freely make use of the homotopy equivalences $\mathrm{SU}_n\to\mathrm{SL}_n$, $\mathrm{U}_n\to\mathrm{GL}_n$, and $\mathrm{SU}_n/\mu_m\to\mathrm{P}(m,n)$, where SU_n denotes the special unitary group and U_n the unitary group.

In topology, the cohomological Brauer group of a space is $\mathrm{H}^3(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tors}}$. For background on topological Azumaya algebras and the topological Brauer group see [1]. Recall that $\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{PGL}_n$ classifies degree-n Azumaya algebras. There is a natural map from $\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{PGL}_n$ to the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}/n,2)$ whose composition with the Bockstein $K(\mathbb{Z}/n,2)\to K(\mathbb{Z},3)$ gives the Brauer class of the degree-n Azumaya algebra. If $\mathscr{A}$ is an Azumaya algebra of degree n, write $\mathrm{cl}_n(\mathscr{A})$ for the corresponding class in $\mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Z}/n)$, and write $\mathrm{cl}(\mathscr{A})$ for its Brauer class. There is a homotopy-pullback diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(m,n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cl}_{(m,n)}"] & \mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{PGL}_n \arrow[d, "\mathrm{cl}_n"] \\
K(\mathbb{Z}/m,2) \arrow[r] & K(\mathbb{Z}/n,2),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the top horizontal arrow is induced by the quotient map $\mathrm{P}(m,n)\to\mathrm{PGL}_n$, and the bottom horizontal arrow is induced by the inclusion of $\mathbb{Z}/m$ into $\mathbb{Z}/n$. It follows that the space $\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(m,n)$ classifies degree-n Azumaya algebras $\mathscr{A}$ such that $\mathrm{cl}_n(\mathscr{A})$ is m-torsion. Such an Azumaya algebra has a class $\mathrm{cl}_{(m,n)}$ in $\mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Z}/m)$. If $\mathscr{A}$ is a degree-n Azumaya algebra such that $\mathrm{cl}_n(\mathscr{A})$ has order m, then we say that $\mathscr{A}$ has a reduction of structure group to $\mathrm{P}(m,n)$, or that $\mathscr{A}$ is of type (m,n).

Suppose that for every Azumaya algebra $\mathscr{A}$ of degree 6 and period 2 on a regular noetherian scheme X there were an Azumaya algebra $\mathscr{A}_2$ of degree 2 and period 2 in the same Brauer class as $\mathscr{A}$. In particular, this would be true for the Brauer classes of Azumaya algebras with reduction of structure group to $\mathrm{P}(2,6)$. We show that this cannot happen even for topological spaces. Rephrased in terms of the classifying spaces, the strategy is to show that, in general, a composite map

$$X\to\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(2,6)\xrightarrow{\mathrm{cl}_{(2,6)}}K(\mathbb{Z}/2,2)$$

cannot be factored as a map

$$X\to\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{PU}_2\xrightarrow{\mathrm{cl}_2}K(\mathbb{Z}/2,2).$$

Then we use Totaro's approximate algebraic classifying spaces [9] to furnish an algebraic counterexample.

The group SU_2 is the group of unit quaternions, and is homeomorphic to S^3. The group PU_2 is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{RP}^3$, and the projection map $\mathrm{SU}_2\to\mathbb{RP}^3$ induces an isomorphism on all homotopy groups except π_1, where $\pi_1(\mathrm{PU}_2)=\mathbb{Z}/2$. Using $\mathrm{PU}_2\simeq\mathrm{PGL}_2$, the classifying-space functor, and the fact that $\pi_4(S^3)=\mathbb{Z}/2$ (see [5, Corollary 4J.4]) gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_2(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{PGL}_2)=\mathbb{Z}/2, && \pi_4(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{PGL}_2)=\mathbb{Z},\\
&\pi_3(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{PGL}_2)=0, && \pi_5(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{PGL}_2)=\mathbb{Z}/2.
\end{aligned}$$

If $n>1$, then Bott periodicity shows that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_2(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(2,2n))=\mathbb{Z}/2, && \pi_4(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(2,2n))=\mathbb{Z},\\
&\pi_3(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(2,2n))=0, && \pi_5(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(2,2n))=0.
\end{aligned}$$

There is a map $\mathrm{SL}_2\to\mathrm{SL}_{2n}$ given by n-fold block-summation, which descends to a map $\mathrm{PGL}_2\to\mathrm{P}(2,2n)$. The induced map $\pi_2(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{PGL}_2)\to\pi_2(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(2,2n))$ is an isomorphism, and therefore so too is the map $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(2,2n),\mathbb{Z}/2)\to\mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{PGL}_2,\mathbb{Z}/2)\cong\mathbb{Z}/2$.

An n-equivalence is defined to be a map $h:X\to Y$ such that $\pi_i(h)$ is an isomorphism for $i<n$ and a surjection for $i=n$ for all choices of basepoint. Recall that in the construction

of Postnikov towers of pointed spaces [5, Chapter 4], for any pointed space (X, x) there is a natural $(n+1)$-equivalence $(X, x) \to (\tau_{\leq n} X, x)$, where

$$\pi_i(\tau_{\leq n} X, x) \cong \begin{cases} \pi_i(X, x) & \text{if } i \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > n. \end{cases}$$

In the remainder of the paper we omit the basepoint from the notation.

The main technical lemma of this paper is the following.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $f : \tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2 \to \tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2$ is a map which induces an isomorphism on $\mathrm{H}^2(\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. Then f induces an isomorphism on $\pi_5(\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that if $\Omega f : \Omega\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2 \to \Omega\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2$ induces an isomorphism on $\mathrm{H}^1(\Omega\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathrm{H}^2(\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PU}_2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, then Ωf induces an isomorphism on $\pi_4(\Omega\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2) = \pi_5(\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2)$. Note that $\Omega\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2 \simeq \tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2$, by consideration of homotopy groups.

We proceed in three steps to complete the proof. We first show that Ωf induces an isomorphism on $\mathrm{H}_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, then on $\pi_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2$, and lastly on $\pi_4(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2)$.

The map $\mathbb{RP}^3 \simeq \mathrm{PGL}_2 \to \tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2$ is a 5-equivalence. Therefore, $\mathrm{H}^{\leq 4}(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(2, x^4)$ with $\deg x = 1$. The map Ωf induces an isomorphism on the vector space $\mathrm{H}^3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, and so on the dual space $\mathrm{H}_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. This completes the first step.

By the naturality of the Hurewicz map, by the Hurewicz theorem applied to S^3, and by the isomorphism $\mathrm{H}_3(S^3, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_3(\mathbb{RP}^3, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z})$, we deduce that the Hurewicz map $\pi_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2) \to \mathrm{H}_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. Thus, the reduction modulo 2 of this map, $\pi_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathrm{H}_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is also an isomorphism. Since the Hurewicz map is natural, Ωf induces an isomorphism on $\pi_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Finally, there is a natural transformation $(\Sigma\eta)^* : \pi_3(X) \to \pi_4(X)$ given by precomposition with the suspension of the Hopf map $\Sigma\eta : S^4 \to S^3$. One can verify that this is in fact a natural homomorphism of groups, see [10, X(8)]. Since there is an isomorphism $\pi_3(S^3) \to \pi_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2)$, and since the natural transformation $(\Sigma\eta)^*$ induces an isomorphism $\pi_3(S^3) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \pi_4(S^3)$, it follows that there is a natural isomorphism $\pi_3(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \pi_4(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2)$. By naturality, Ωf therefore induces an isomorphism on $\pi_4(\tau_{\leq 4}\mathrm{PGL}_2)$. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer. Suppose X is a CW complex and $h : X \to \tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,P}(2, 2n)$ is a 6–equivalence. There is no map $f : X \to \mathrm{B\,PGL}_2$ inducing an isomorphism on $\mathrm{H}^2(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}/2)$.*

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that such a map f exists. Let s denote a homotopy-inverse to the equivalence $\tau_{\leq 5}(h)$ (which one can assume exists possibly after replacing $\tau_{\leq 5}X$ with a weakly-equivalent space). Then the composite

$$\begin{tikzcd} \tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2 \arrow[r] & \tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,P}(2, 2n) \arrow[r, "s"] & \tau_{\leq 5}X \arrow[r, "\tau_{\leq 5}f"] & \tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2, \end{tikzcd}$$

where the first map is given by block-summation, induces an isomorphism on the cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^2(\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Since $\pi_5(\mathrm{B\,P}(2, 2n)) = 0$, the composite is necessarily the 0–map on $\pi_5(\tau_{\leq 5}\mathrm{B\,PGL}_2)$, contradicting Lemma 2.1. □

We are now ready to prove our main theorem. If X is a scheme and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$, we let $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$ denote the greatest common divisor of the degrees of all Azumaya algebras in the class α. When X is a regular and noetherian integral scheme, we showed in [2, Proposition 7.2], using an argument suggested by Saltman, that $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha) = \mathrm{ind}(\alpha_\eta)$, where η is the generic point of X. Note that for regular noetherian schemes, if n is odd and $\mathscr{A}$ is an Azumya algebra of degree $2n$ and period 2, then the Brauer class $\mathrm{cl}(\mathscr{A})$ has index 2, because the period and index have the same prime divisors by [2, Proposition 7.2].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $n > 2$ be an odd integer. There exists a smooth affine variety X over the complex numbers and an Azumaya algebra $\mathscr{A}$ of degree $2n$ and period 2 such that there is no degree-2 Azumaya algebra with class $\mathrm{cl}(\mathscr{A})$.*

Proof. Let V be an algebraic linear representation of $\mathrm{P}(2, 2n)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathrm{P}(2, 2n)$ acts freely outside an invariant closed subscheme S of codimension at least 4, and such that $Y = (V - S)/\mathrm{P}(2, 2n)$ exists as a smooth quasi-projective complex variety. Such representations exist by [9, Remark 1.4]. There is a classifying map $Y \to \mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(2, 2n)$, classifying the canonical algebraic $\mathrm{P}(2, 2n)$–torsor $V - S \to (V - S)/\mathrm{P}(2, 2n)$. As the codimension of S is at least 4, the scheme $V - S$ is 6–connected. It follows from a map of long exact sequences of homotopy groups that $Y \to \mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(2, 2n)$ is a 7–equivalence of topological spaces.

The algebraic $\mathrm{P}(2, 2n)$-torsor on Y induces a canonical algebraic Azumaya algebra $\mathscr{A}$ over Y of degree $2n$ and such that $\mathrm{per}(\mathrm{cl}(\mathscr{A})) = 2$. Since Y has the same low-degree cohomology as $\mathrm{B}\,\mathrm{P}(2, 2n)$, we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2) \to \mathrm{H}^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$, induced by the Bockstein map. Via the natural comparison isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathrm{H}^2_{\text{ét}}(Y, \mu_2)$, this map factors as $\mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2) \to \mathrm{H}^2_{\text{ét}}(Y, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathrm{tors}} \to \mathrm{H}^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. In this case, the right hand map is surjective, and the left-hand map is surjective onto the 2-torsion of the cohomological Brauer group $\mathrm{H}^2_{\text{ét}}(Y, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathrm{tors}}$. Therefore, both maps are isomorphisms. It follows that if $\mathscr{B}$ is an Azumaya algebra of degree 2 such that $\mathrm{cl}(\mathscr{B}) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathscr{A})$, then $\mathrm{cl}_2(\mathscr{B}) = \mathrm{cl}_{(2,2n)}(\mathscr{A})$ in $\mathrm{H}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. By Proposition 2.2, no such degree 2 Azumaya algebra exists.

Although the variety Y need not be affine, it may be replaced using Jouanolou's device [7], by an affine vector bundle torsor $p : X \to Y$ such that X is smooth and affine, and such that p is a homotopy equivalence. One may pull-back the Azumaya algebra $\mathscr{A}$ to X, and there is no degree 2 topological Azumaya algebra that is equivalent to $p^*\mathscr{A}$. ☐

In the language of maximal orders, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *There exist smooth affine varieties X over the complex numbers and Brauer classes $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$ such that the division algebra over the generic point has no Azumaya maximal orders over X.*

Proof. Take X and α as constructed in the proof of the theorem. To be specific, let $n = 3$. Since $\mathrm{per}(\alpha) = 2$, and $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$ is a power of 2 that that divides 6, over the generic point η there is a degree-2 division algebra D with class α. There is however no degree-2 Azumaya algebra of class α over X, by the theorem and so no maximal order in D is Azumaya. ☐

The next corollary shows that, in general, there is no prime decomposition for Azumaya algebras as there is for central simple algebras.

Corollary 2.5. *There is a smooth affine variety X and an Azumaya algebra $\mathscr{A}$ of degree 6 and period 2 such that $\mathscr{A}$ has no decomposition $\mathscr{A} \cong \mathscr{A}_2 \otimes \mathscr{A}_3$ for Azumaya algebras of degrees 2 and 3, respectively.*

Proof. One can again take X and $\mathscr{A}$ as in the proof of the theorem. We should have $\mathrm{per}(\mathscr{A}) = \mathrm{per}(\mathscr{A}_2)\,\mathrm{per}(\mathscr{A}_3)$. In particular, there should exist a degree 2, period 2 Azumaya algebra over X, in contradiction of the theorem. ☐

Corollary 2.6. *There exist regular noetherian schemes X with generic point η, Brauer classes $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$, such that there are no maximal orders in D that are locally free over X, where D is the division algebra in the class α_η.*

The next corollary is simply a translation into the language of twisted sheaves (see [8]).

Corollary 2.7. *There exist regular noetherian schemes X, Brauer classes $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$, and α_η-twisted vector bundles E over η that have no extension to α-twisted vector bundles over X.*

Our method of proof is to show that there exists a finite CW complex X and a class $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(X) \cong \mathrm{H}^3(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ such that $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha) = 2$, but where α is not represented by a degree

2-topological Azumaya algebra. This is a new result in the setting of topological Azumaya algebras studied in [1].

Now, we show that one can find examples of non-existence on smooth affine 6-folds over the complex numbers. The algebraic group SL_6 acts faithfully on affine space $\mathbb{A}^6$ via the defining representation. The representation of SL_6 on the second exterior power $\wedge^2\mathbb{A}^6 \cong \mathbb{A}^{15}$ is not faithful, but it factors through the quotient $\mathrm{P}(2,6) = \mathrm{SL}_6/\mu_2$, and it is faithful on $\mathrm{P}(2,6)$. The representation $V = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{A}^{18}, \mathbb{A}^{15})$ of $\mathrm{P}(2,6)$ is generically free. The free locus is the subspace of surjective maps; the complement S has codimension 4. Therefore, $Y = (V - S)/\mathrm{P}(2,6) \to \mathrm{B\,P}(2,6)$ is a 7-equivalence. As a variety, it has dimension $15 \cdot 18 - 35 = 235$. Let $p : X \to Y$ be an affine vector bundle torsor with X affine, and embed X in affine space $\mathbb{A}^k$. Let X have dimension j. The affine Lefschetz hyperplane theorem (see [4, Introduction, Section 2.2]) says that if H is a generic hyperplane in $\mathbb{A}^k$, then $X \cap H \to X$ is a $(j-1)$-equivalence. By intersecting many times such that the intersections are smooth, we can find a 6-dimensional smooth affine variety W in X such that $W \to X$ is a 6-equivalence. Therefore, the canonical class $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2_{\text{ét}}(W, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ is not represented by a degree 2 Azumaya algebra.

3. CANONICAL FACTORIZATION

Both $\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n)$ and $\mathrm{B\,PGL}_m$ are equipped with canonical maps to $K(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2)$. A canonical factorization of Azumaya algebras with structure group $\mathrm{P}(m,n)$ is a factorization $\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n) \to \mathrm{B\,PGL}_m \to K(\mathbb{Z}/m,2)$. The existence of such a factorization would give, for every Azumaya algebra of degree n with class in $\mathrm{H}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/m)$, a canonical Azumaya algebra $\mathscr{B}$ of degree m with the same degree 2-obstruction class.

Theorem 3.1. *If $n > m$, then there is no canonical factorization* $\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n) \to \mathrm{B\,PGL}_m \to K(\mathbb{Z}/m, 2)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n) \to K(\mathbb{Z}/m,2)$ factors through $\mathrm{B\,PU}_m \to K(\mathbb{Z}/m,2)$. Let $\mathrm{B\,PGL}_m \to \mathrm{B\,P}(m,n)$ be the map induced block-summation. Write $f : \mathrm{B\,P}(m,n) \to \mathrm{B\,P}(m,n)$ for the composition. By construction, this map induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n), \mathbb{Z}/m) \cong \mathbb{Z}/m$, so it is essential (not nullhomotopic).

There is, however, a map $\mathrm{SU}_n/\mu_m \to \mathrm{P}(m,n)$ which is a homomorphism and a homotopy-equivalence. If we write G for SU_n/μ_m, then G is a simple connected compact Lie group, there is a complete description of the homotopy-classes of self-maps $BG \to BG$ due to [6, Theorem 2], and therefore of homotopy-classes of self-maps $\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n) \to \mathrm{B\,P}(m,n)$.

That theorem says we can factor a map $BG \to BG$ as $B\alpha \circ \psi^k$, where α is an outer automorphism of G, and ψ^k is an unstable Adams operation on BG, for some $k \geq 0$ prime to the order of the Weyl group of G. The map ψ^k induces multiplication by k^i on $\mathrm{H}^{2i}(BG, \mathbb{Q})$. In particular a map $f : \mathrm{B\,P}(m,n) \to \mathrm{B\,P}(m,n)$ is either nullhomotopic or induces an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces on rational cohomology.

The rational cohomology of $\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n)$ is

$$\mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[c_2, \ldots, c_n], \quad c_i \in \mathrm{H}^{2i}(\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n), \mathbb{Q}),$$

while that of $\mathrm{B\,PGL}_m$ is

$$\mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{B\,PGL}_m, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[c_2, \ldots, c_m], \quad c_i \in \mathrm{H}^{2i}(\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n), \mathbb{Q}).$$

In particular, the dimension of $\mathrm{H}^{2m+2}(\mathrm{B\,P}(m,n), \mathbb{Q})$ is 1 more than the dimension of $\mathrm{H}^{2m+2}(\mathrm{B\,PGL}_m, \mathbb{Q})$, so that f cannot induce an isomorphism on rational cohomology, and must be nullhomotopic, a contradiction. ☐

REFERENCES

[1] B. Antieau and B. Williams, *The period-index problem for twisted topological K-theory*, ArXiv e-prints (2011), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.4654.

[2] ———, *The topological period-index problem for 6-complexes*, ArXiv e-prints (2012), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.4430.

[3] M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 367–409.

[4] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Stratified Morse theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[5] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[6] S. Jackowski, J. McClure, and B. Oliver, *Homotopy classification of self-maps of BG via G-actions. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **135** (1992), no. 1, 183–226.

[7] J. P. Jouanolou, *Une suite exacte de Mayer-Vietoris en K-théorie algébrique*, Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 293–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341 (French).

[8] M. Lieblich, *Twisted sheaves and the period-index problem*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 1, 1–31.

[9] B. Totaro, *The Chow ring of a classifying space*, Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 249–281.

[10] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 61, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978. MR516508 (80b:55001)